# Regular solutions of the Einstein equations with parametric transition to black holes

Reinhard Meinel Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Black hole limit of relativistic figures of equilibrium
- 3. Rigorous results for discs of dust
- 4. Numerical results for fluid rings with various equations of state
- 5. Electrically counterpoised dust configurations
- 6. Rotating discs of electrically charged dust

#### 1. Introduction

• In the case of spherical symmetry, an "unstoppable gravitational collapse" (resulting in a spacetime singularity – within classical general relativity) necessarily leads to a Schwarzschild black hole (cf. Oppenheimer & Snyder 1939) or, if there is some electric charge, to a Reissner–Nordstrøm–Weyl black hole.

• Without spherical symmetry, for example in the case of a collapsing *rotating* star, the result of a complete collapse is much more difficult to predict. The (weak) cosmic censorship conjecture (Penrose 1969), combined with (i) the assumption that the exterior gravitational field settles down to a stationary state and (ii) the black hole uniqueness ("no-hair theorem": Israel, Carter, Hawking, Robinson, Mazur, ...), predicts the formation of a Kerr (or Kerr–Newman) black hole.

• With rotation (and/or electric charge), *quasi-stationary* collapse scenarios, described by sequences of equilibrium configurations becoming more and more compact, are possible. Do they lead to black holes or to naked singularities?

• A continuous sequence of stationary and axisymmetric, uniformly rotating perfect fluid bodies reaches a black hole limit if and only if

$$M - 2\Omega J \to 0 \qquad (G = c = 1)$$

in the limit (Meinel 2006). The limit leads to an *extreme* Kerr black hole.

• The existence of such a limit was first demonstrated for rotating discs of dust, numerically by Bardeen & Wagoner (1971) and analytically by Neugebauer & M. (1995).

• The fact that the quasi-stationary collapse of a rotating disc of dust leads to the formation of a black hole and *not to a naked singularity* is in remarkable agreement with the cosmic censorship conjecture.

• Further numerical examples, for genuine fluid bodies, were provided by the "relativistic Dyson rings" (Ansorg et al. 2003) and their generalizations.

• Examples for parametric transitions to black holes have also been found in the context of the static Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs equations (Breitenlohner et al. 1995, Lue & Weinberg 2000).

• Simplest possibility: The parametric compression of static configurations of "electrically counterpoised dust", also called "Bonnor stars", leads to an extreme Reissner–Nordstrøm–Weyl black hole (Bonnor & Wickramasuriya 1975, Lemos & Weinberg 2004, Bonnor 2010, M. & Hütten 2011). [Corresponding solution class: Papapetrou-Majumdar solutions]

• The quasi-stationary collapse of a rotating disc of electrically charged dust leads to the formation of an extreme Kerr-Newman black hole (Breithaupt et al. 2015).

# 2. Black hole limit of relativistic figures of equilibrium(a) Necessary and sufficient conditions

Four-velocity of the fluid:

 $u^{i} = e^{-V}(\xi^{i} + \Omega \eta^{i}), \quad \Omega = \text{constant}$ 

with Killing vectors:  $\xi = \partial/\partial t, \ \eta = \partial/\partial \varphi$ 

 $[\xi^i \xi_i \rightarrow -1 \text{ at spatial infinity.}$  We assume asymptotic flatness; the spacetime signature is chosen to be (+ + + -). The orbits of the spacelike Killing vector  $\eta$  are closed and  $\eta$  is zero on the axis of symmetry.]

 $\Omega = u^{arphi}/u^t$ ,  $e^{-V} = u^t$ 

$$u^{i}u_{i} = -1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\xi^{i} + \Omega \eta^{i})(\xi_{i} + \Omega \eta_{i}) = -e^{2V}$$

Energy-momentum tensor:  $T_{ik} = (\mu + p) u_i u_k + p g_{ik}$ 

"Cold" equation of state,  $\mu = \mu(p)$ , following from

$$p = p(\mu_{\mathrm{b}}, T), \quad \mu = \mu(\mu_{\mathrm{b}}, T)$$

for T = 0, where  $\mu_{\rm b}$  is the "baryonic mass-density" [with  $(\mu_{\rm b} u^i)_{;i} = 0$ ] and T the temperature. The specific enthalpy

$$h = \frac{\mu + p}{\mu_{\rm b}}$$

can be calculated from  $\mu(p)$  via the thermodynamic relation

$$dh = \frac{1}{\mu_{\rm b}} dp \qquad (T = 0)$$

leading to

$$\frac{dh}{h} = \frac{dp}{\mu + p} \quad \Rightarrow \quad h(p) = h(0) \, \exp\left[\int_{0}^{p} \frac{dp'}{\mu(p') + p'}\right].$$

[h(0) = 1 in most cases.]

$$T^{ik}_{;k} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad h(p) e^{V} = h(0) e^{V_0} = \text{constant}$$

Relative redshift z of zero angular momentum photons emitted from the surface of the fluid and received at infinity:

 $z = e^{-V_0} - 1$ 

Equilibrium models, for a given equation of state, are fixed by two parameters, for example  $\Omega$  and  $V_0$ . (When we discuss a "sequence" of solutions, what is meant is a curve in the two-dimensional parameter space.)

Baryonic mass  $M_{\rm b}$ , gravitational mass M and angular momentum J:

$$M_{\rm b} = -\int_{\Sigma} \mu_{\rm b} u_i n^i d\mathcal{V}, \quad M = 2 \int_{\Sigma} (T_{ik} - \frac{1}{2} T_j^j g_{ik}) n^i \xi^k d\mathcal{V}, \quad J = -\int_{\Sigma} T_{ik} n^i \eta^k d\mathcal{V},$$

where  $\Sigma$  is a spacelike hypersurface (t = constant) with the volume element  $d\mathcal{V} = \sqrt{(3)g} d^3x$  and the future pointing unit normal  $n^i$ .

A combination of the previous relations leads to the formula

$$M = 2\Omega J + h(0) e^{V_0} \int \frac{\mu + 3p}{\mu + p} dM_{\rm b}.$$

We assume  $\mu$  and p to be non-negative and  $0 < M_{\rm b} < \infty$ ,  $0 < h(0) < \infty$ .

$$\Rightarrow \quad 1 \le (\mu + 3p)/(\mu + p) \le 3 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$M = 2\Omega J \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad V_0 \to -\infty \quad (z \to \infty)$$

This condition is necessary and sufficient for approaching a black hole limit (Meinel 2006).

Surface of the fluid:  $(\xi^i + \Omega \eta^i)(\xi_i + \Omega \eta_i) = -e^{2V_0}$ 

Black hole horizon:  $(\xi^i + \Omega_h \eta^i)(\xi_i + \Omega_h \eta_i) = 0$ 

 $\Omega_{\rm h}$  : "angular velocity of the horizon" ;  $V_0 \to -\infty$  :  $\Omega \to \Omega_{\rm h}$ 

 $M = 2\Omega J \Rightarrow$  Impossibility of black hole limits of non-rotating (uncharged) equilibrium configurations, cf. "Buchdahl's inequality".

Together with

$$\Omega = \Omega_{\rm h} = \frac{J}{2M^2 \left[M + \sqrt{M^2 - (J/M)^2}\right]}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
  $J = M^2$  (*extreme* Kerr black hole).

Note: The last conclusion makes use of the Kerr black hole uniqueness *including the extreme case.* 

#### (b) Extreme Kerr uniqueness

In Weyl's canonical coordinates, the stationary and axisymmetric *vacuum* line element takes the form

$$ds^{2} = e^{2\alpha} (d\varrho^{2} + d\zeta^{2}) + \varrho^{2} e^{-2\nu} (d\varphi - \omega dt)^{2} - e^{2\nu} dt^{2},$$

where

$$\varrho^2 = \left(\xi^i \eta_i\right)^2 - \xi^i \xi_i \eta^k \eta_k = \left(\chi^i \eta_i\right)^2 - \chi^i \chi_i \eta^k \eta_k.$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \varrho = 0 \quad \text{on the horizon} \quad (\mathcal{H}: \chi^i \chi_i = 0, \chi^i \eta_i = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \chi^i \equiv \xi^i + \Omega_h \eta^i)$$

Therefore, the t = constant,  $\varphi = \text{constant}$  slice of the horizon of a single stationary and axisymmetric black hole surrounded by a vacuum can only be a finite intervall or a single point on the  $\zeta$ -axis. In *both* cases, the corresponding boundary value problem can uniquely be solved by means of the "inverse scattering method".

$$\mathcal{L}: \varrho = 0, \qquad l \qquad \mathcal{L}: \varrho = 0, \qquad l \qquad \mathcal{L}: \varrho = 0, \qquad l \qquad \mathcal{L}: \varrho = 0, \qquad \mathcal{L}: \mathcal{L}: \mathcal{L}: \mathcal{L}: \mathcal{L}: \mathcal{L}: \mathcal{L}: \mathcal{L}: \mathcal{L}$$

Result: Kerr with  $J < M^2$ [ $l = \sqrt{M^2 - (J/M)^2}$ ] Kerr with  $J = M^2$ 

• The Kerr (-Newman) black holes – including the extreme case – are the only stationary and axisymmetric black holes (with a single connected horizon) surrounded by an asymptotically flat (electro-) vacuum (Meinel et al. 2008, Meinel 2012).

Other proofs of the extreme Kerr (-Newman) uniqueness have been published by Amsel et al. (2010), Figueras & Lucietti (2010) and Chrusciel & Nguyen (2010).

#### 3. Rigorous results for discs of dust



Two parameters:  $\rho_{\rm o}$ ,  $\Omega$ 

The exact solution to this problem has been found in terms of hyperelliptic theta functions by solving the corresponding boundary value problem via the "inverse scattering method" (Neugebauer & M. 1995). It depends on the normalized coordinates  $\varrho/\varrho_o$ ,  $\zeta/\varrho_o$  or  $\varrho/M$ ,  $\zeta/M$  and the previously introduced parameter  $e^{V_0}$ , which is given here by

$$e^{2V_0} = -(\xi^i + \Omega \eta^i)(\xi_i + \Omega \eta_i)\Big|_{\mathcal{S}} = \text{constant.}$$





In the black hole limit, the disc shrinks to the origin of the  $\rho/M$ ,  $\zeta/M$  coordinate system, since  $\rho_o/M \rightarrow 0$ ; and the solution becomes precisely the extreme Kerr solution (outside the horizon).

(Note that the limit in the  $\rho/\rho_o$ ,  $\zeta/\rho_o$  coordinates is different: It gives a non-asymptotically flat solution with the extreme Kerr "throat geometry" at spatial infinity!)

#### 4. Numerical results for fluid rings with various equations of state

$$ds^{2} = e^{2\alpha} (d\varrho^{2} + d\zeta^{2}) + W^{2} e^{-2\nu} (d\varphi - \omega dt)^{2} - e^{2\nu} dt^{2}$$

$$\alpha = \alpha(\varrho, \zeta)$$
$$\nu = \nu(\varrho, \zeta)$$
$$\omega = \omega(\varrho, \zeta)$$
$$W = W(\varrho, \zeta)$$



toroidal bodies:  $A := -\varrho_i/\varrho_o < 0$ sheroidal bodies:  $A := \zeta_p/\varrho_o > 0$ 

Ansorg et al. (2003), Fischer et al. (2005), Labranche et al. (2007)



$$2\pi\sqrt{g_{\varphi\varphi}(\varrho,0)} = 2\pi W(\varrho,0)e^{-\nu(\varrho,0)}$$
:

proper circumference of a circle  $\rho = \text{constant}$ in the "equatorial plane" ( $\zeta = 0, t = \text{constant}$ )

$$\delta = \int_{0}^{\varrho} e^{\alpha(\varrho',0)} d\varrho'$$
 : proper radius of that circle

# 5. Electrically counterpoised dust (ECD) configurations

$$ds^{2} = S^{2}(dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2}) - S^{-2}dt^{2}$$

Energy-momentum tensor:

$$T_{ik} = \rho u_i u_k + T_{ik}^{(\text{em})}, \quad u^i = \delta_4^i S, \quad \rho \ge 0, \quad S > 0$$

with 
$$T_{ik}^{(\text{em})} = \frac{1}{4\pi} (F_{ij}F_k^{\ j} - \frac{1}{4}F^{mn}F_{mn}g_{ik}), \quad F_{ik} = A_{k,i} - A_{i,k}$$
  
 $A_i = -\delta_i^4 \phi, \quad \phi = -\epsilon(S^{-1} - 1), \quad \epsilon = \pm 1$ 

Einstein-Maxwell equations for the ECD case  $(J^i = \sigma u^i, \sigma = \epsilon \rho)$ :

$$R_{ik} - \frac{1}{2}R g_{ik} = 8\pi T_{ik}, \ F^{ik}_{;k} = 4\pi J^i \iff \Delta S \equiv \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial z^2} = -4\pi S^3 \rho$$

$$\iff \Delta V = 4\pi\mu$$
 with  $S = 1 - V$ ,  $\rho = \frac{\mu}{S^3}$ 

General solution with a localized ECD distribution:

$$V = -\int \frac{\mu(\mathbf{r}')d^3\mathbf{r}'}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}, \quad \mathbf{r} = (x, y, z).$$

Asymptotic behaviour:

$$r \equiv |\mathbf{r}| \to \infty: \quad V \to -\frac{M}{r}, \quad g_{44} = -S^{-2} \to -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)$$
  
with  $M = \int \mu(\mathbf{r}) d^3 \mathbf{r}$  Note:  $Q \equiv \int \sigma S^3 d^3 \mathbf{r} = \epsilon M$ 

Any ECD distribution of finite extent:  $\mu(\mathbf{r}) = f(\mathbf{r})$  with  $f(\mathbf{r}) \equiv 0$  for r > RCorresponding one-parameter family of solutions:  $\mu(\mathbf{r}) = \alpha^3 f(\alpha \mathbf{r})$  ( $\alpha > 0$ )

i.e. 
$$\mu(\mathbf{r}) \equiv 0$$
 for  $r > \frac{R}{\alpha}$  Note:  $M$  independent of  $\alpha$ 

Sufficiently small  $\alpha$ : Newtonian limit ( $|V| \ll 1$ )  $\alpha \to \infty$ : black hole limit

(a) The exterior point of view

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \to \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu(\mathbf{r}) &= M\delta(\mathbf{r}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \underline{r} > 0: \quad V = -\frac{M}{r}, \quad S = 1 + \frac{M}{r} \\ \Rightarrow \quad ds^2 &= \left(1 + \frac{M}{r}\right)^2 (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) - \left(1 + \frac{M}{r}\right)^{-2} dt^2 \end{aligned}$$

• metric of an extremal Reissner-Nordström black hole outside the event horizon

Note: horizon at r = 0 in the isotropic coordinates used here (relation to radial Schwarzschild coordinate  $r_{\rm S}$ :  $r_{\rm S} = r + M$ )

## (b) The interior point of view

Limit  $\alpha \to \infty$  after the coordinate transformation

$$ilde{x}=lpha x,\ ilde{y}=lpha y,\ ilde{z}=lpha z,\ ilde{t}=lpha^{-1}t$$

$$\Rightarrow ds^2 = \tilde{S}^2 (d\tilde{x}^2 + d\tilde{y}^2 + d\tilde{z}^2) - \tilde{S}^{-2} d\tilde{t}^2 \qquad (\tilde{S} = \alpha^{-1}S)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \to \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tilde{S} &= \alpha^{-1} S \Big|_{\alpha \to \infty} = \alpha^{-1} (1 - V) \Big|_{\alpha \to \infty} = -\alpha^{-1} V \Big|_{\alpha \to \infty} \\ \Rightarrow \quad \tilde{S} &= \int \frac{f(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}') d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{r}}'}{|\tilde{\mathbf{r}} - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}'|}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{r}} = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \end{aligned}$$

Note: Finite values of  $\tilde{r} \equiv |\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|$  correspond to r = 0 in the limit!

Asymptotic behaviour:

$$\tilde{r} \to \infty: \quad \tilde{S} \to \frac{M}{\tilde{r}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad ds^2 = \frac{M^2}{\tilde{r}^2} (d\tilde{x}^2 + d\tilde{y}^2 + d\tilde{z}^2) - \frac{\tilde{r}^2}{M^2} d\tilde{t}^2$$

• extreme Reissner-Nordström "near-horizon geometry" (also known as the Bertotti-Robinson metric or  $AdS_2 \times S^2$  spacetime, in fact going back to Levi-Civita 1917)

• Spherically symmetric case:  $AdS_2 \times S^2$  not only asymptotically, but for all  $\tilde{r} > R$ 

# (c) A generic example

$$f(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} \mu_0 & \text{for} \quad x^2/a^2 + y^2/b^2 + z^2/c^2 \le 1\\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

with  $\mu_0 = constant$ 

$$\Rightarrow \quad M = \frac{4\pi}{3} abc \,\mu_0$$

<u>Visualization of the limit  $\alpha \to \infty$ :</u>

$$F \equiv \begin{cases} \tilde{r}\tilde{S} & \text{for} \quad \tilde{r} < \infty \quad \text{("inner world")} \\ rS & \text{for} \quad r > 0 \quad \text{("outer world")} \end{cases}$$

Note:  $\tilde{r}\tilde{S} = rS$  for finite  $\alpha$ 

Plot of F/M for b = 0.8 a, c = 0.5 a:



# 6. Rotating discs of electrically charged dust



Palenta & M. (2013) Breithaupt et al. (2015) Liu Pynn et al. (2016)



g : gyromagnetic factor (=  $\frac{\mu_{\rm m}}{QJ/2M}$ ),  $~~\epsilon~$  : specific electric charge (0  $<\epsilon<$  1)  $\gamma=1-e^{V_0}=z/(1+z)$ 

# Conclusion

All considered quasi-stationary collapse scenarios lead to black holes, *and not to naked singularities*.

• These results, which are highly non-trivial because of the absence of spherical symmetry, are in remarkable agreement with the cosmic censorship conjecture.

#### References

R. Meinel, *On the black hole limit of rotating fluid bodies in equilibrium*, CQG 23 (2006) 1359

R. Meinel, M. Ansorg, A. Kleinwächter, G. Neugebauer & D. Petroff, *Relativistic Figures of Equilibrium*, Cambridge University Press 2008

R. Meinel, *Constructive proof of the Kerr-Newman black hole uniqueness including the extreme case*, CQG 29 (2012) 035004

R. Meinel and M. Hütten, *On the black hole limit of electrically counterpoised dust configurations*, CQG 28 (2011) 225010

M. Breithaupt, Y.-C. Liu, R. Meinel & S. Palenta, On the black hole limit of rotating discs of charged dust, CQG 32 (2015) 135022

Y.-C. Liu Pynn, R. Panosso Macedo, M. Breithaupt, S. Palenta & R. Meinel, *Gyromagnetic factor of rotating disks of electrically charged dust in general relativity*, PRD 94 (2016) 104035